CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA Community Assistance Office

ANNUAL ACTION PLAN FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007

PROGRAM YEAR PERIOD JULY 1, 2006 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2007

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM ENTITLEMENT



This document is available in alternate formats.

DRAFT - April 2006



Second Program Year Action Plan

The CPMP Second Annual Action Plan includes the <u>SF 424</u> and Narrative Responses to Action Plan questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

Narrative Responses

GENERAL

Executive Summary

The Executive Summary is required. Include the objectives and outcomes identified in the plan and an evaluation of past performance.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Executive Summary:

This Year 2 Action Plan implements the second year of activities that will address goals established in the City of Scottsdale 2005/2009 Consolidated Plan. This Annual Action Plan proceeds at a time of great uncertainty in the partnership that has existed between the federal government and localities since 1975. As this Annual Action Plan was being developed, the federal budget proposed by the President would reduce the Community Development fund by 25% and change the funding formula for Community Development Block Grant. These changes could hinder the implementation of years' three through five of the Plan. This is the context for this Annual Plan.

However, the needs of low-income seniors, victims of domestic violence, the developmentally disabled, people in poverty and the Community's aging neighborhoods continue. While this Annual Action Plan will focus on the allocation of CDBG and HOME funds, it will also describe the proposed use of other local funds, which are awarded in the same funding process as the HUD grants. These are:

- General funds anticipated to be budgeted for:
 - Regional homeless initiatives,
 - Local non-profit providers of human services,
 - Preservation and development of work-force and senior housing,
- Scottsdale Cares funding,
- The Scottsdale Foundation.

The term of this plan is from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

The key strategic objectives addressed in this Action Plan are:

- Increase the quality of owner-occupied housing through housing rehabilitation assistance to low and moderate-income households,
- Improve habitability of owner-occupied housing with emergency repair assistance and accommodations for physical disabilities,
- Increase the supply of affordable owner-occupied housing by providing down payment assistance and housing counseling to low and moderate-income households,
- Increase the supply of rental housing by providing acquisition and rehabilitation assistance,
- Preserve the supply of quality rental units in the private market through continuation of Housing Choice Voucher assistance,
- Through direct assistance and participation in the MAG Continuum of Care Homeless Committee
 - Continue financial support to:
 - Regional shelters for the homeless,
 - Local emergency facilities for victims of domestic violence,
 - Local providers of transitional housing for families,
 - Contribute to the Human Services Campus facility for the mentally ill,
 - Support the implementation of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS),
 - o Continue emergency homeless prevention services,
 - Advocate for preservation and addition of Housing Choice Voucher,
- Provide a suitable living environment, particularly benefiting low and moderate-income people through public services to:
 - o Seniors.
 - o Disabled.
 - o Victims of domestic violence,
 - Youth,
- Families and individuals in crisis.

General Questions

- 1. Describe the geographic areas of the jurisdiction (including areas of low income families and/or racial/minority concentration) in which assistance will be directed during the next year. Where appropriate, the jurisdiction should estimate the percentage of funds the jurisdiction plans to dedicate to target areas.
- 2. Describe the basis for allocating investments geographically within the jurisdiction (or within the EMSA for HOPWA) (91.215(a)(1)) during the next year and the rationale for assigning the priorities.
- 3. Describe actions that will take place during the next year to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

4. Identify the federal, state, and local resources expected to be made available to address the needs identified in the plan. Federal resources should include Section 8 funds made available to the jurisdiction, Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, and competitive McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act funds expected to be available to address priority needs and specific objectives identified in the strategic plan.

Program Year 2 Action Plan General Questions response:

- 1. The jurisdictional boundaries for this Annual Action Plan coincide with the corporate boundaries of the City of Scottsdale. The Census Tract map of Scottsdale is located in the attachments to this document. This action plan does also cover local and CDBG funding to some facilities outside of Scottsdale where the need is regional and the share of Scottsdale investment is less than or equal to Scottsdale's proportional share of the regional population. These facilities include:
 - a. Central Arizona Shelter Services (CASS),
 - b. Nova Safe Haven,
 - c. La Mesita, A Family Shelter,
 - d. The East Valley Men's Shelter.
- 2. CDBG investments will generally be targeted to income eligible people citywide, rather than to targeted neighborhoods. However, with the exception of persons with disabilities and victims of domestic violence who are presumed to be low income, the majority of beneficiaries will reside in Census Tracts south of Indian Bend Road. This area coincides with the South Scottsdale Revitalization Area and is served by an interdepartmental city task force that coordinates the City's revitalizations efforts. Two neighborhoods in this area that have higher percentages of minority and lower income residents are served by the Vista del Camino Center and the Paiute Neighborhood Center. These neighborhoods are areas of focus for Human Services, brokerage agencies, and HOME investments in acquisition and rehabilitation of multifamily developments.
- 3. Obstacles to underserved needs relative to the geography of the City are:
 - a. The geographic shape of Scottsdale,
 - b. The relative age of the built environment in the southern part of the community compared to the newer and larger masterplanned, northern area of the City,
 - c. The rapid appreciation of residential property in all of Scottsdale,
 - d. The aging of residents in the southern part of the community.

To address these obstacles during the next year, the City:

- a. Will continue:
 - i. Increased levels of code enforcement,
 - ii. Waiver or reduction of building permits for property improvements south of Indian Bend,
 - iii. The *Rock the House* program to assist income qualified families with low water use landscaping.
- b. Will continue to target capital improvements including but not limited to:

- Open and operate the new Senior Center at Granite Reef and McDowell,
- ii. Renovation and expansion of the Vista del Camino neighborhood center,
- iii. Neighborhood oriented public improvements,
- iv. Planning for redevelopment of the ASU center at Scottsdale and McDowell Road,
- v. Continued revitalization of the downtown area.
- c. Will continue:
 - i. Housing rehabilitation and emergency repair services to low income households,
 - ii. Home ownership counseling and purchase assistance,
 - iii. To provide tax counseling assistance at Senior Centers.
- d. Will continue to develop services and programs to support the aging senior population, including but not limited to:
 - i. Continue services that assist seniors with
 - 1. Housing rehabilitation,
 - 2. Emergency repair.
 - ii. Develop new programs that assist seniors with landscaping, clean-up and minor repairs..
- 4. The City of Scottsdale will utilize the federal CDVF, HOME, ADDI, Section 8 program to assist people. On a local level, Scottsdale allocates general funds for social service and affordable housing project. In addition, a utility bill donation program allows residents to donate \$1 on every utility bill to be allocated to social service agencies.

Managing the Process

- 1. Identify the lead agency, entity, and agencies responsible for administering programs covered by the consolidated plan.
- 2. Identify the significant aspects of the process by which the plan was developed, and the agencies, groups, organizations, and others who participated in the process.
- 3. Describe actions that will take place during the next year to enhance coordination between public and private housing, health, and social service agencies.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Managing the Process response:

Lead Agency

- 1. For CDBG, the City of Scottsdale is the lead agency with contractual responsibility resting with the City Council. Mark Bethel is the Community Assistance Manager and the staff liaison for CDBG and HOME. He can be reached at (480) 312-2309 or mbethel@scottsdaleaz.gov.
 - For the Maricopa HOME Consortium, the lead agency is Maricopa County Community Development. Scottsdale receives HOME funds through and IGA with the County and other suburban cities and towns. Mark Bethel, Community Assistance Manager, is also Scottsdale's staff liaison to the Maricopa HOME Consortium.

- 2. Process-Significant steps for the Year 1 Action Plan coincided with the development of the Strategic Plan for the Five-year Consolidated Plan. These included:
 - · Assembling the staff consultation team,
 - Extensive Citizens Participation (See section below for dates and comments).

3. Consultation

Scottsdale Consolidated Plan Consultation Team:

- Justin Lisonbee, Consolidated Plan Coordinator, Community Assistance Office, (480) 312-2576
- Mark Bethel, Community Assistance Manager, for Housing Choice Vouchers, CDBG and HOME, Citizen Participation Plan and staff to the Human Services Commission and City Council for these functions, Community Assistance Office, (480) 312-2309
- Connie James, Human Services Director, Scottsdale Human Services, (480) 312-2598
- Cindy Ensign, Human Services Planner, Scottsdale Human Services, (480) 312-2646

Citizen Participation

- 1. Provide a summary of the citizen participation process.
- 2. Provide a summary of citizen comments or views on the plan.
- 3. Provide a summary of efforts made to broaden public participation in the development of the consolidated plan, including outreach to minorities and non-English speaking persons, as well as persons with disabilities.
- 4. Provide a written explanation of comments not accepted and the reasons why these comments were not accepted.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Citizen Participation response:

(See Citizens Participation Plan for the Maricopa Home Consortium in the Additional Documents folder.)

1. Participation

- A public meeting before non-profit service providers regarding the 2006 funding allocation process was held on October 5, 2005.
- Public presentations were made by applicants for CDBG, HOME, Scottsdale Cares, General Funds and the Scottsdale Endowment on February 6 and February 8, 2006.
- A public notice on availability of draft document and opportunity for citizen comment was published in the Scottsdale Tribune, Tuesday, April 4, 2006.
- A public hearing before the Scottsdale City Council on April 25, 2006 to request approval of the 2006-07 Annual Action Plan.
- 2. Efforts to Broaden Access to Information

^{*}Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.

- Community Assistance Office, 7515 East First Street, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251
 - Mark Bethel, Community Assistance Manager, (480) 312-2309 http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/departments/progDetail.asp?progID=12&deptID=35
- Notice of Hearings
 - The October meeting was advertised in the non-legal section of the Scottsdale Tribune, the City website and by direct mail to all prior applicants.
 - The April Public Hearing Notice were published as legal notices in the classified section of the Scottsdale Tribune and posted as a regular notice by the City Clerk.
- Access to Meetings: All meetings of Council, city boards and commissions are publicly noticed and noticed as open to reasonable accommodation with prior arrangement.
- 3. Comments from Citizens
 - a. TBD
- 4. Comments not accepted None of the comments summarized here were rejected. All have been incorporated to some extent within the text of this plan.

Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions that will take place during the next year to develop institutional structure.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Institutional Structure response:

Current plans for further development of the delivery system for housing and community development include:

- Staffing issues,
 - o Home ownership,
 - o Housing rehabilitation,
- · Non-profit community development corporations,
- Maximizing community resources for revitalization.

The federal budget proposed at the time of the development of this Annual Action Plan is proposing drastic cuts and redesign of the current funding formula that the development of new institutional capacity would be ill advised. Current plans to fill a new position in the Community Assistance Office to support home ownership through the use of Housing Choice Vouchers, IDA funds and CDBG supported homebuyer counseling and down payment assistance will be ill advised if the resources available from CDBG and Section 8 will not support the assistance. The same problem arises in the decision to fill a vacant position in the housing rehabilitation program.

Although there are no plans to provide financial assistance to a proposed community development corporation, a corporation in early stages of development has been viewed as a positive step for the community. However, it will be difficult for the people involved in this endeavor to maintain enthusiasm for the project if there are no possibilities for resources.

Movement on these developments will likely be deferred until the future of CDBG and Section 8 funding is more predictable.

Development of other community resources is ongoing and will continue. Past examples include:

- Regional cooperation in:
 - o The MAG Continuum of Care Committee on Homelessness,
 - o The East Valley Needs Assessment,
- Local initiatives, including:
 - Brokerage licenses to non-profit service providers in City facilities,
 - o The Scottsdale Cares utility donation program,
 - o General fund allocations to regional homeless facilities,
 - General fund support for acquisition and preservation of housing,
 - o The Rock the House program.
 - STOMP program

Monitoring

1. Describe actions that will take place during the next year to monitor its housing and community development projects and ensure long-term compliance with program requirements and comprehensive planning requirements.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Monitoring response:

Monitoring

- Monitoring began at the application process for the development of the Annual Action Plan.
 - Each application for funding was reviewed for compliance with national objectives and the Consolidated Plan.
 - A "blue sheet" was provided to the agency and the Human Services Commission prior to the annual review of funding requests. This document identified issues related to prior year audits, budget, performance measures and past performance.
- The second monitoring step will be the review of invoices for reimbursement of costs incurred against the grant. This will occur before reimbursements are authorized for contracted work.
- The more formal monitoring will begin with a risk assessment of all grant funded projects and subrecipient contracts. The risk assessment will consider
 - o size of the grant contract,
 - o changes in organizational structure, and
 - o how long it has been since the last on site monitoring.
 - For HOME funded multifamily projects, the risk assessment also includes whether it has been more than two years since the last on-site minitoring.
- Based on the risk assessment, there are three possible options:
 - o Review of monthly invoices only,
 - o Desk review,

- On-site monitoring. An on-site monitoring follows a formal monitoring checklist and may result in
 - findings,
 - concerns, or
 - suggestions for improvement.
- o The agency will be given an opportunity to correct any findings.
- The need for follow-up review will be considered in the risk assessment for the next year and corrections to prior year findings will be specifically included in the subsequent monitoring.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe the actions that will take place during the next year to evaluate and reduce the number of housing units containing lead-based paint hazards in order to increase the inventory of lead-safe housing available to extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income families, and how the plan for the reduction of lead-based hazards is related to the extent of lead poisoning and hazards.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Lead-based Paint response:

Based on past history, approximately 10% of the private market rental units that will be brought into the City of Scottsdale Housing Choice Voucher Program will meet the thresholds for lead based paint inspection. Those thresholds are:

- Children under six years old in the new tenant family, and
- The rental unit having been built before 1978.

Based upon anticipated turnover of 36 units during the program year, it is anticipated that 4 units will be inspected for chipped and peeling paint and will be abated prior to execution of a Housing Assistance Payment Contract.

All rental units acquired with HOME funds will be tested for lead content in paint and will be abated prior to occupancy. This will result in an additional 8 to 12 units.

Virtually all homes receiving housing rehabilitation assistance will be tested for lead paint prior to rehabilitation and any contamination will be abated with the assisted contract. The goal is 15 to 20 units.

A small percentage of units assisted with emergency repairs will meet the criteria for lead abatement: two units.

All homes built prior to 1978 and purchased with homebuyer assistance through CDBG or HOME/ADDI will be inspected for the presence of lead in paint and will be abated prior to assisted purchase, approximately 10 units.

Assisted residential occupancy	Goal for lead hazard abatement
Housing Choice Voucher	4 units
HOME acquired rental units	12 units
Single family housing rehabilitation	15 units
Emergency repair for housing	2 units
Assisted home ownership	10 units
Total goal	44 units
Goal as a percent of total need	3%

HOUSING

Specific Housing Objectives

*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

- 1. Describe the priorities and specific objectives the jurisdiction hopes to achieve during the next year.
- 2. Describe how Federal, State, and local public and private sector resources that are reasonably expected to be available will be used to address identified needs for the period covered by this Action Plan.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Specific Objectives response:

The following chart lists those housing activities that will involve some specific participation by the City of Scottsdale in their implementation.

Year-One Short-term housing objectives					Improved
Housing Choice Vouchers/CDBG/ HOME/ADDI		Source	Allocation	Unit of Measure	Outcome
City of Scottsdale Housing Choice Voucher Rental Assistance		Section 8	\$5,130,000	672 units	708 households
FSL Home Improvements - City of Scottsdale Emergency Repair Program		CDBG	\$200,000	38 units repaired	38 households
Community Services of Arizona, Inc. (CSA) – First time Homebuyer Program	*	HOME	\$350,000	11 homes purchased	11households
City of Scottsdale, Community Assistance Office - Housing Rehabilitation Program	**	CDBG	\$0	8 units rehabilitated	8 households
Community Services of Arizona, Inc. – Acquisition of Real Property		CDBG	\$750,000	8 units acquired/ rehabilitated	8 households
		Private	\$150,000		
ADDI – Community Services of Arizona		ADDI	\$9,456		
* Includes \$62,142 General Fund allocation ** Total units projected for completion is 26. The additional units will be funded from CDBG carryover. as non-federal match					

Annual Goals Against Five-Year Goals Table 2A

					Scottsdale)						
		Ho	Housing Needs Housing Needs	Current Number of People	Cumulative	Priority Need?	Year One Action Plan	Fund Source	# of people in lead- based Housing	# of people with HIV/ AIDS SEE NOTE	# of people who are disabled	# of people w/ racial/ ethnic need
	nter		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	891					4		16	40
	. Renter	ج	Any housing problems	575	155	High	132	Sec 8/HOME				
	_	Elderly	Cost Burden > 30%	575	128/7	Ì	128	Sec 8				
		Ą	Cost Burden >50%	507		High	4	Sec 8				
		ρé	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	611					25		100	80
		Related	Any housing problems	528	302	High	185	Sec 8				
		Small R	Cost Burden > 30%	508	160	High	160	Sec 8				
			Cost Burden >50%	468	142	High	25	Sec 8				
		B										
		eq	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	147					4		2	8
		Related	Any housing problems	147	29	High	15	Sec 8				
		Large F	Cost Burden > 30%	127	11	High	11	Sec 8				
MFI			Cost Burden >50%	107	18	High	4	Sec 8				
< 30% MFI		Ċ.										
V		hsholds	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1356					0			
		hsh	Any housing problems	955		Med						
		other	Cost Burden > 30%	945		Med						
		All c	Cost Burden >50%	917		Med						
		o.										
	II. Owner		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1324					10			50
	0	Elderly	Any housing problems	929	99	High	10	CDBG/rehab				
			Cost Burden > 30%	929	50	High	0	CDBG/rehab				
		Ą	Cost Burden >50%	673	49	High	10	CDBG/rehab				
		ated	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	531					0			
		Rela	Any housing problems	429		Med						
		Small Related	Cost Burden > 30%	429		Med		_				
		B. S	Cost Burden >50%	394		Med						

		D	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	57					0		
		Related	Any housing problems	53		Med					
			Cost Burden > 30%	43		Med					
		C. Large	Cost Burden >50%	43		Med					
		hsholds	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	782					0		
		er hs	Any housing problems	561		Med	Unk				
		other	Cost Burden > 30%	561		Med	Unk				
		D. All	Cost Burden >50%	527		Med	Unk				
	ıter		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1115					6	14	40
	I. Renter	کِ	Any housing problems	914	137	High	71	Sec 8/HOME			
	_	Elderly	Cost Burden > 30%	903		High	68	Sec8/HOME			
		Ą	Cost Burden >50%	702	69	High	4	Sec 8			
		ted	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	673					4	70	65
		Related	Any housing problems	643	251	High	141	Sec 8			
		Small	Cost Burden > 30%	623	181	High	121	Sec 8			
		B. Sr	Cost Burden >50%	270	70	High	4	Sec 8			
			NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	477							
E		Related	Any housing problems	176	10	Lliab	19	Sec 8	3	2	5
W %			Cost Burden > 30%	176 103		High High	6	Sec 8			
30-50% MFI		Large	Cost Burden >50%	59		High	3	Sec 8			
3		ن ن				9					
		spic	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	937							
		hsholds	Any housing problems	900		Med					
		other	Cost Burden > 30%	890		Med					
		A	Cost Burden >50%	675		Med					
	پ	Ö.	AHIMPED OF HOUSENED TO								
	Owner		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	2004					10		30
	Ξ.	Elderly	Any housing problems	984		High	10	CDBG/rehab			
				984		High	10	CDBG/rehab			
		A	Cost Burden >50%	545	30	High		CDBG			
Ш											

		ted	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	660					6	2	15
		Related	Any housing problems	512	30	High	6	CDBG/rehab			
		Small	Cost Burden > 30%	512		High					
		B. Sr	Cost Burden >50%	432	30	High	6	CDBG/rehab			
		3									
		pə:	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	116					6	2	15
		Related	Any housing problems	106	30	High	6	CDBG/rehab			
		Large F	Cost Burden > 30%	82	30	High	6	CDBG/rehab			
		C. La	Cost Burden >50%	59		Med					
		other hsholds	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	484							
		r hsh	Any housing problems	413		Med					
		other	Cost Burden > 30%	413		Med					
		All	Cost Burden >50%	310		Med					
	L	Ο.									
	Renter		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	985					0	6	14
	I. Re	Elderly	Any housing problems	749	57	High	57	Sec 8			
		A. Elde	Cost Burden > 30%	739	57	High	57	Sec 8			
			Cost Burden >50%	247		High					
		ted	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1476					0	46	44
		Small Related	Any housing problems	1021	166	High	116				
		nall I	Cost Burden > 30%	917	116	High	116				
		B. Sn	Cost Burden >50%	121	50	High					
MFI		ш									
50-80%		ted	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	283					13	1	4
50-8		Related	Any housing problems	197	17	High	13	Sec 8/HOME			
		Large	Cost Burden > 30%	82	17	High	13	Sec 8/HOME			
		C. La	Cost Burden >50%	0		(0	0			
			NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	2501							
		other hsholds	Any housing problems	1926		Med					
		er hs	Cost Burden > 30%	1916		Med					
			Cost Burden >50%	345		Med					
		All									
		Ο.									
L											

	_								ſ	
Owner		NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	3395					18		15
0 :	Elderly	Any housing problems	1256	30	High	18	CDBG/rehab			
	Elde	Cost Burden > 30%	1256	30	High	18	CDBG/rehab			
	Ą	Cost Burden >50%	499		Med					
	ρé	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1481					10	3	30
	Related	Any housing problems	1094	61	High	10				
	all R	Cost Burden > 30%	1087	32	High	4	ADDI/HOAP			
	Small	Cost Burden >50%	573	29	High	6	CDBG/rehab			
	B.									
	pe	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	289					8	1	20
	Related	Any housing problems	230	40	High	8				
		Cost Burden > 30%	198	8	High	1	ADDI/HOAP			
	Large	Cost Burden >50%	68	32	High	7	CDBGrehab			
	S.									
	Splc	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1152							
	hsholds	Any housing problems	823		Med					
	other	Cost Burden > 30%	823		Med					
	All o	Cost Burden >50%	387		Med					
	<u>0</u>									

The following is a list of resources that may be available and utilized by the City or by private non-profit or for-profit housing providers in the next year. No numeric goals are proposed for these resources. However, housing assisted by these resources will be reported in the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report and counted toward overall five-year goals.

- FSS escrow accounts,
- Section 8 homebuyer assistance,
- State Housing Trust Funds,
- IDA bonds,
- Affordable Housing Bonds,
- Arizona Homebuyer Solutions,
- Individual development accounts,
- IDEA grants, Low Income Housing Tax Credits,
- Private loans and equity.

Needs of Public Housing

- 1. Describe the manner in which the plan of the jurisdiction will help address the needs of public housing and activities it will undertake during the next year to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership.
- 2. If the public housing agency is designated as "troubled" by HUD or otherwise is performing poorly, the jurisdiction shall describe the manner in which it will provide financial or other assistance in improving its operations to remove such designation during the next year.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Public Housing Strategy response:

The City of Scottsdale Housing Agency does not own any public housing units. The City's Housing Choice Voucher Program consistently receives high scores in the audit of the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP).

The primary goal for the Housing Choice Voucher Program for the coming year will be to preserve, to the extent possible given funding allocations, the same number of assisted families in the rental assistance program.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Describe the actions that will take place during the next year to remove barriers to affordable housing.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Barriers to Affordable Housing response:

The following actions will be taken during the following year to address barriers to affordability during the next year:

- Regulatory action: Continue a pilot program of reduced and waived building permit fees for rehabilitation and remodeling of residential properties south of Indian Bend Road.
- Programs to support property maintenance for senior, disabled and low-income home owners:
 - o City of Scottsdale General Funds:
 - Rock the House: This program provides landscape rock to low-income homeowners who desire to conserve water and eliminate blight in front yards.
 - STOMP: Scottsdale Teens On a Mission for Progress provide assistance with clean up and household tasks for senior and disabled homeowners.
 - Federal assistance administered by Scottsdale:
 - Acquisition of older rental units to preserve affordability,
 - Housing rehabilitation and emergency repair assistance to extend livability of owner-occupied housing.
 - Homeownership assistance through:
 - Section 8 Family Self-Sufficiency escrow accounts,
 - Homebuyer education and assistance.

HOME/ American Dream Down payment Initiative (ADDI)

- 1. Describe other forms of investment not described in § 92.205(b).
- 2. If the participating jurisdiction (PJ) will use HOME or ADDI funds for homebuyers, it must state the guidelines for resale or recapture, as required in § 92.254 of the HOME rule.
- 3. If the PJ will use HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is that is being rehabilitated with HOME funds, it must state its refinancing guidelines required under § 92.206(b). The guidelines shall describe the conditions under which the PJ will refinance existing debt. At a minimum these guidelines must:
 - a. Demonstrate that rehabilitation is the primary eligible activity and ensure that this requirement is met by establishing a minimum level of rehabilitation per unit or a required ratio between rehabilitation and refinancing.
 - b. Require a review of management practices to demonstrate that disinvestments in the property has not occurred; that the long-term needs of the project can be met; and that the feasibility of serving the targeted population over an extended affordability period can be demonstrated.
 - c. State whether the new investment is being made to maintain current affordable units, create additional affordable units, or both.
 - d. Specify the required period of affordability, whether it is the minimum 15 years or longer.
 - e. Specify whether the investment of HOME funds may be jurisdiction-wide or limited to a specific geographic area, such as a neighborhood identified in a neighborhood revitalization strategy under 24 CFR 91.215(e)(2) or a Federally designated Empowerment Zone or Enterprise Community.
 - f. State that HOME funds cannot be used to refinance multifamily loans made or insured by any federal program, including CDBG.
- 4. If the PJ is going to receive American Dream Down payment Initiative (ADDI) funds, please complete the following narratives:
 - a. Describe the planned use of the ADDI funds.
 - b. Describe the PJ's plan for conducting targeted outreach to residents and tenants of public housing and manufactured housing and to other families assisted by public housing agencies, for the purposes of ensuring that the ADDI funds are used to provide down payment assistance for such residents, tenants, and families.
 - c. Describe the actions to be taken to ensure the suitability of families receiving ADDI funds to undertake and maintain homeownership, such as provision of housing counseling to homebuyers.

Program Year 2 Action Plan HOME/ADDI response:

This section is addressed in the Maricopa HOME Consortium Consolidated Plan 2005/2009. Maricopa County serves as the *Lead Agency* for the Maricopa HOME Consortium. The Consortium Consolidated Plan establishes the Strategy and Action Plan for housing activity for the Consortium and, most specifically, the use of HOME/ADDI funding as the resources for the implementation of that Plan. As mentioned previously, that Consortium Consolidated Plan is incorporated by reference in this plan.

HOMELESS

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

- 1. Sources of Funds—Identify the private and public resources that the jurisdiction expects to receive during the next year to address homeless needs and to prevent homelessness. These include the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act programs, other special federal, state and local and private funds targeted to homeless individuals and families with children, especially the chronically homeless, the HUD formula programs, and any publicly-owned land or property. Please describe, briefly, the jurisdiction's plan for the investment and use of funds directed toward homelessness.
- 2. Homelessness—In a narrative, describe how the action plan will address the specific objectives of the Strategic Plan and, ultimately, the priority needs identified. Please also identify potential obstacles to completing these action steps.
- 3. Chronic homelessness—The jurisdiction must describe the specific planned action steps it will take over the next year aimed at eliminating chronic homelessness by 2012. Again, please identify barriers to achieving this.
- 4. Homelessness Prevention—The jurisdiction must describe its planned action steps over the next year to address the individual and families with children at imminent risk of becoming homeless.
- 5. Discharge Coordination Policy—Explain planned activities to implement a cohesive, community-wide Discharge Coordination Policy, and how, in the coming year, the community will move toward such a policy.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Special Needs response:

The following Table 1C lists Year-1 funding allocations to the providers of services to the homeless.

Table 1C

SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR HOMELESS PREVENTION					
Agency Name	Program Description	Funding Category	Funding Source	FY 2006/07 Funding Allocation	Outcome/ People served
Central Arizona Shelter Services (CASS)	Regional Emergency Shelter	Emergency	General Funds	48,000	400
Chrysalis Shelter	Scottsdale Shelter	Emergency	CDBG	30,000	268
Community Information & Referral, Inc.	CONTACS Hotline	Emergency	CDBG	10,000	1,000

SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR HOMELESS PREVENTION					
Agency Name	Program Description	Funding Category	Funding Source	FY 2006/07 Funding Allocation	Outcome/ People served
Concerned Citizens for Community Health	Emergency Rent/Mortgage, Utilities, & Food	Emergency	Scottsdale Cares	37,634	265
Mesa Community Action Network (CAN)	East Valley Men's Center	Emergency	General Funds		
PREHAB of AZ	La Mesita - A Family Shelter	Emergency	General Funds	17,000	10
Save the Family (STF)	Homeless Children's Intervention Project	Supportive	Scottsdale Cares	5,000	14
Chrysalis Shelter	Scottsdale Shelter (personnel)	Transitional	General Funds	12,000	285
Community Bridges, Inc.	Substance Use Disorder Treatment	Transitional	Scottsdale Cares	15,100	550
Homeward Bound	Case Mgmt and Employment Services	Transitional	CDBG	24,926	50
Phoenix Shanti Group, Inc.	HIV Housing Program (Utilities)	Transitional	Scottsdale Cares	4,900	4
Save the Family (STF)	Case Mgmt and Supportive Services	Transitional	CDBG	10,000	7
			Total	249,560	2,888

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

(States only) Describe the process for awarding grants to State recipients, and a description of how the allocation will be made available to units of local government.

Program Year 2 Action Plan ESG response:

Scottsdale does not receive an ESG allocation.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify the jurisdiction's priority non-housing community development needs eligible for assistance by CDBG eligibility category specified in the Community Development Needs Table (formerly Table 2B), public facilities, public improvements, public services and economic development.

2. Identify specific long-term and short-term community development objectives (including economic development activities that create jobs), developed in accordance with the statutory goals described in section 24 CFR 91.1 and the primary objective of the CDBG program to provide decent housing and a suitable living environment and expand economic opportunities, principally for low- and moderate-income persons.

*Note: Each specific objective developed to address a priority need, must be identified by number and contain proposed accomplishments, the time period (i.e., one, two, three, or more years), and annual program year numeric goals the jurisdiction hopes to achieve in quantitative terms, or in other measurable terms as identified and defined by the jurisdiction.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Community Development response:

The following table lists non-housing CDBG allocations for the coming year to address goals established in the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

Table 2C

CITY OF SCOTTSDA CDBG Allocations to objectives.		High priority needs	Funding le accomplish year-t	ments for
Agency Name	Program Description	Con Plan Funding Priority Activity		Outcome/ people served
Advocates for the Disabled, Inc.	Disability Claims Service	Handicapped Services	10,000	34
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central AZ	Scottsdale Mentoring	Youth Services	10,000	20
Boys & Girls Club of Greater Scottsdale	Operation Outreach	Youth Services	16,921	435
Chrysalis Shelter	Scottsdale Shelter	Homeless Services	30,000	268
Child Crisis Center – East Valley	Family Resource Center	Public Facility	75,000	40
Community Information & Referral, Inc.	CONTACS Hotline	Homeless Services	10,000	1,000
Girls Ranch	Scottsdale Group Home Repairs	Public Facility	23,175	
Homeward Bound	Case Mgmt and Employment Services	Homeless Services	24,926	50
Save the Family (STF)	Case Mgmt and Supportive Services	Homeless Services	10,000	7
Scottsdale Training & Rehabilitation (STARS)	Community Based Employment Program	Handicapped Services	26,000	
Tempe Community Action Agency (TCAA)	Scottsdale Senior Nutrition Program	Senior Services	40,170	368
		Total	276,192	2,282

Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe the actions that will take place during the next year to reduce the number of poverty level families.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Antipoverty Strategy response:

Year-two actions to reduce the number of families in poverty:

- · Staff the One-Stop Career Center,
- Provide case-management services through the Vista-Paiute Job Prep Program,
- Provide assisted housing and case-management services through the Section 8 Family Self-Sufficiency Program.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING

Non-homeless Special Needs (91.220 (c) and (e))

*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

- 1. Describe the priorities and specific objectives the jurisdiction hopes to achieve for the period covered by the Action Plan.
- 2. Describe how Federal, State, and local public and private sector resources that are reasonably expected to be available will be used to address identified needs for the period covered by this Action Plan.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Specific Objectives response:

The following table describes Federal and local allocations of funding within the procurement authority of the City of Scottsdale to address goals for special needs populations.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS/High Priority Needs				Funding and accomplishments for Year-Two.	
Agency Name	Program Description	Funding Category	Funding Source	FY 2006/07 Funding Allocation	Outcome/ People Served
Area Agency on Aging, Region One	Benefits Assistance Program	Elderly	Scottsdale Cares	10,800	500
	Volunteer Interfaith Caregivers Program	Elderly	General Funds	18,000	200
Tempe Community Action Agency (TCAA)	Scottsdale Senior Nutrition Program	Elderly	CDBG	40,170	368
Alzheimer's Assoc Desert SW Chapter	Alzheimer's Assoc Scottsdale	Frail Elderly	Scottsdale Cares	11,834	425
FSL Programs	Adult Day Therapeutic & Restorative Health	Frail Elderly	Scottsdale Cares	6,500	30
Jewish Family & Children's Service	Home Based Geriatric Program	Frail Elderly	General Funds	21,000	85
Tempe Community Action Agency (TCAA)	South Scottsdale Home Delivered Meals	Frail Elderly	Scottsdale Cares	35,300	250

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS/High Priority Needs				Fundin accomplish Year-	ments for
Agency Name	Program Description	Funding Category	Funding Source	FY 2006/07 Funding Allocation	Outcome/ People Served
Mental Health Assoc. of AZ	Peer Support Groups	Mental Illness	Scottsdale Cares	6,300	150
Teen Lifeline, Inc.	General Support	Mental Illness	Scottsdale Cares	11,600	4,040
Advocates for the Disabled, Inc.	Disability Claims Service	Disabled	CDBG	10,000	34
Scottsdale Training & Rehabilitation (STARS)	Community Based Employment Program	Disabled	CDBG	26,000	20
Scottsdale Training & Rehabilitation (STARS)	Facility Based Training/Employment	Disabled	Scottsdale Cares	12,126	14
Valley Center of the Deaf	Counseling for Hearing Loss/Health	Disabled	Scottsdale Cares	9,300	31
Community Bridges, Inc.	Substance Use Disorder Treatment	Addiction	Scottsdale Cares	15,800	550
Body Positive, HIV & AIDS Center	Positive Peer Prevention - Teen Mentoring	Persons w/AIDS	Scottsdale Cares	5,000	280
Phoenix Shanti Group, Inc.	HIV Housing Program (Utilities)	Persons w/AIDS	Scottsdale Cares	5,000	4
			TOTAL	244,730	6,950

Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS

- 1. Provide a Brief description of the organization, the area of service, the name of the program contacts, and a broad overview of the range/ type of housing activities to be done during the next year.
- 2. Report on the actions taken during the year that addressed the special needs of persons who are not homeless but require supportive housing, and assistance for persons who are homeless.
- 3. Evaluate the progress in meeting its specific objective of providing affordable housing, including a comparison of actual outputs and outcomes to proposed goals and progress made on the other planned actions indicated in the strategic and action plans. The evaluation can address any related program adjustments or future plans.
- 4. Report on annual HOPWA output goals for the number of households assisted during the year in: (1) short-term rent, mortgage and utility payments to avoid homelessness; (2) rental assistance programs; and (3) in housing facilities, such as community residences and SRO dwellings, where funds are used to develop and/or operate these facilities. Include any assessment of client outcomes for achieving housing stability, reduced risks of homelessness and improved access

^{*}Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

to care.

- 5. Report on the use of committed leveraging from other public and private resources that helped to address needs identified in the plan.
- 6. Provide an analysis of the extent to which HOPWA funds were distributed among different categories of housing needs consistent with the geographic distribution plans identified in its approved Consolidated Plan.
- 7. Describe any barriers (including non-regulatory) encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement.
- 8. Please describe the expected trends facing the community in meeting the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and provide additional information regarding the administration of services to people with HIV/AIDS.
- 9. Please note any evaluations, studies or other assessments that will be conducted on the local HOPWA program during the next year.

Program Year 2 Action Plan HOPWA response:

The City of Scottsdale does not receive HOPWA funding.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

Describe how Federal, State, and local public and private sector resources that are reasonably expected to be available will be used to address identified needs for the period covered by the Action Plan.

Program Year 2 Specific HOPWA Objectives response:

The City of Scottsdale does not receive HOPWA funding.

Other Narrative

Include any Action Plan information that was not covered by a narrative in any other section.

Performance Measurement System

A template for goals and objectives was developed primarily along the lines of the national United Way Performance Measurement model. It documented:

- The need that was chosen to be addressed
- The "goal" as what thing to be accomplished
- The "activity" as the eligible grant funded activity to be undertaken
- The "output" as the number and unit of measure
- The "input" as the fund amount and fund source
- To meet an identified "desired outcome" e.g., an increase in the number of quality, affordable dwelling units, which would address one of the national objectives of the CDBG program.

Units of measure have been included in the charts above, which describe the short-term objectives for the Year-Two Action Plan. These units are either the housing units that will be provided or improved or the number of people who will receive public services. The outcome measures are the number of individuals or the number of households whose lives will be improved by the particular activity.

Staff is currently working on implementing new performance measurements released by HUD on March 7, 2006 into the contract process for the Year-Two Action Plan. The City of Scottsdale will fully implement the requirement by the year-three action plan.

Fair Housing Choice

As Scottsdale prepared its Year-Two Annual Action Plan, it completed the 2006/2010 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI). This AI was reviewed by the Scottsdale Housing Board and the Human Services Commission in public meetings and approved by the Scottsdale City Council at the Public Hearing on adoption of the Annual Action Plan. According to the Fair Housing Planning Guide and the joint memorandum between Nelson R. Bregón, General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, and Carolyn Peoples, Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, regarding the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Re-issuance, "AIs are not submitted or approved by HUD. Each jurisdiction should maintain its AI and update the AI annually where necessary. Jurisdictions may also include actions the jurisdiction plans to take to overcome the effects of impediments to fair housing choice during the coming year in the Annual Plan that is submitted as part of the Consolidated Plan submission."

The new AI resulted in the following substantial amendments to Scottsdale's Fair Housing Plan for 2006/2010.

IMPEDIMENT TO BE ADDRESSED	GOAL	STRATEGY TO MEET THE GOAL	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS	PROPOSED INVESTMENT	PERFORMANCE MEASURE
Lack of Education on fair housing rights and how to file a fair housing complaint	Fair Housing Education & Planning	Provide at least two educational opportunities each year on the what-and- how of fair housing.	City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office City of	\$1,000 a year \$500 a year	The number and percent of people surveyed who report awareness of fair housing rights and how to file a fair housing complaint
		member of the Arizona Fair Housing Partnership.	Scottsdale Fair Housing Coordinator		Level of participation in the Arizona Fair Housing Partnership
Particular lack of understanding of "reasonable accommodatio n" and the	Improve general understandin g of the rights, obligations	Work with the City's ADA Coordinator and Fair Housing Coordinator to create	City of Scottsdale ADA Coordinator and Community Assistance Office	\$3,000	Number of people who receive information or training in "reasonable accommodation"

IMPEDIMENT TO BE ADDRESSED	GOAL	STRATEGY TO MEET THE GOAL	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS	PROPOSED INVESTMENT	PERFORMANCE MEASURE
rights, obligations and responsibilities related to fair housing and the Americans with Disabilities Act.	and responsibilitie s of "reasonable accommodati on."	educational materials on "reasonable accommodation ."			
Newly constructed rental units do not always comply with the Arizona Fair Housing Act as it pertains to accessibility for persons with disabilities.	Reduce the number of newly constructed rental units that do not comply with the Arizona Fair Housing Act to zero.	Increase efforts to advise architects and developers of the design implications of the Arizona Fair Housing Act.	City of Scottsdale One Stop Shop and ADA Coordinator	\$6,000	Number and percent of multifamily units built in compliance with the Arizona Fair Housing Act
The lack of affordable housing has a disproportionat e impact on single female heads of households with children	Increase opportunities for workforce housing particularly around major employment centers.	Complete the review of the impact of recent condominium conversions on the availability of affordable rental housing.	City of Scottsdale Citizen and Neighborhood Resources and Scottsdale Housing Board	\$3,000	Study completed
and working members of minority populations around the employment centers in		Look for infill development opportunities particularly in areas around employment	City of Scottsdale	Unknown, but may be substantial	New affordable units added to the housing stock
Scottsdale. The problem of affordability is exacerbated by recent conversions of rental units to condominium ownership.		Continue to provide homebuyer assistance to promote workforce-housing opportunities.	City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office	\$750,000	Persons assisted with education and home purchase.
Families with children, persons with disabilities and low-income Black/African American and American Indian households	Secure professional paired testing to determine the extent to which minorities, persons with disabilities and families	Contract with fair housing provider agencies for paired-testing to document whether different terms and conditions are creating	City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office and Fair Housing Coordinator	\$10,000	Number of paired tests completed
allege different terms and conditions in	with children are subject to different	barriers to fair housing choice.	City of Scottsdale Fair	\$1500 each year	Completion and maintenance of database

IMPEDIMENT TO BE ADDRESSED	GOAL	STRATEGY TO MEET THE GOAL	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS	PROPOSED INVESTMENT	PERFORMANCE MEASURE
securing housing.	terms and conditions.	Develop and populate a database to log all requests for fair housing services, which will include type of call, assessment of service need, action taken and outcome.	Housing Coordinator and ADA Coordinator		
On a countywide basis, minority households are denied mortgages because of inability to secure mortgage insurance significantly more frequently than Caucasian homebuyers.	Reduce the disparity in the ratio of minority households that are denied mortgages because of an inability to secure mortgage insurance.	Work with Phoenix and the other Maricopa County communities and homebuyer services to review the reasons why minority households are declined for mortgage insurance more frequently than Caucasian homebuyers.	City of Scottsdale and Maricopa HOME Consortium, possibly in conjunction with Arizona State University or the Arizona Fair Housing Partnership		Completion of a report on mortgages denied on a countywide basis because of problems securing mortgage insurance
NIMBY attitudes and attitudes of landlords and neighbors continue to impact housing choice.	Increase community acceptance and improve and levels of tolerance among residents.	Participate with regional efforts to inform people of the value of workforce housing to the health of the community.	City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office and Fair Housing Coordinator	\$3,000	Participation in regional public information ventures.
Among low- income people surveyed, lack of available public transportation ranked as a greater barrier to housing choice than knowledge of fair housing laws and how to file a complaint.	Improve transportation resources as they relate to live-work opportunities for people.	Continue to develop new transportation resources to link workforce housing opportunities and job opportunities.	City of Scottsdale Transportation Department		Increased ridership

Issues on the horizon, which may come forward for public action during the coming year.

- Disposition of the Civic Center Senior Center,
- Disposition of property leased to STARS, formerly known as the Scottsdale Foundation for the Handicapped.

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT LETTER



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Phoenix Field Office One North Central, Suite 600 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4414 www.hud.gov/arizona.html

MAR 0 6 2006

Honorable Mary Manross Mayor of Scottsdale 7515 E. 1st. Street Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor Manross:

Subject: City of Scottsdale, Annual Community Assessment Program Year 2004 (July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005)

The Office of Community Planning and Development has completed its annual review of Scottsdale's Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for fiscal year 2004. This review is done to evaluate the management of funds made available under programs administered by HUD and compliance with the City's 2000-2004 Consolidated Plan. It also allowed us an opportunity to observe the City's progress in meeting the goals of its Consolidated Plan and the extent to which it is preserving and developing decent, safe, affordable housing, creating a suitable living environment, and expanding economic opportunities for low and moderate-income people

The City of Scottsdale is the recipient of one of HUD's entitlement programs, the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). The City of Scottsdale was awarded \$1,389,000 in CDBG in funds. The City also received \$521,208 in HOME funds from the Maricopa County HOME Consortium, which included \$103,319 in reprogrammed funds. The CAPER indicates the City spent \$1,269,439 of its HUD resources in support of eligible activities during the program year. CDBG timely expenditure requirements continue to be satisfied and the City continues to perform well in the use of its HUD resources.

The activities undertaken to address the City's priority needs are described in Scottsdale's five-year Consolidated Plan for the period 2000 - 2004. Staff continues to demonstrate their ability to implement the above programs in accordance with federal requirements. Our review of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) and other available information, confirms that the City has the continuing capacity to administer its CDBG program.

The City's CDBG funding objectives were toward public services and housing activities (homeownership and rehabilitation) for the preservation of its existing housing stock as well as increasing the supply of affordable housing. In addition, limited funds were used to provide

needed assistance to local homeless agencies, which services homeless persons, persons with special needs, and for persons with HIV/AIDS.

The City's housing activities are consistent with the goals established in their Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans. Forty-seven (47%) percent of Scottsdale's CDBG funds are targeted for rehabilitation of the City's low-income housing stock. In addition, eighteen (18) percent of the City's CDBG funds were used for its First-Time Homebuyer program.

Scottsdale's CAPER reflected 10 households were assisted under the City's First-Time Homebuyer program during FY 2004. An additional 23 households were provided housing counseling. Further, the City's CAPER indicates 55 homeowners were assisted with rehabilitation services. Unfortunately, in the past Scottsdale voters have not supported a General Obligation Bond to support further affordable housing development.

However, in discussions with City staff, we have found that since FY 2000 and 2001, the City Council has awarded \$100,000 annually, to be leveraged with HOME funds, to acquire and rehabilitate affordable rental housing in Scottsdale. As of June 30, 2005, Scottsdale has expended \$600,000 from their General Funds, along with HOME funds, to acquire and rehabilitate over 50 affordable housing units.

Although some success has been achieved, the City will need to continue working with housing developers to increase the percentage of housing units designated to serve the low- and extremely low-income population in the Scottsdale area. Mixed income/use developments will need to include more units that are affordable to low- and extremely low-income individuals and families. Additional subsidies may be required to make housing developments that contain high percentages of units affordable to persons earning 50% AMI or less financially feasible.

The need to provide affordable housing for low and moderate-income families in the Scottsdale area is a challenge. There are teachers, nurses, municipal employees and those who work in the service/retail areas in the city that find it increasingly difficult to afford quality housing. Prices continue to escalate faster than incomes. The high median price of homes in the area is keeping many from owning their own home; and high land and construction costs are keeping apartment developers from building affordable rental units. Especially for large families. We also understand these issues of low incomes and lack of affordable housing opportunities may transcend municipal boundaries. No one unit of general local government can resolve them alone.

We also know that there is not enough public funding available to build significant numbers of new affordable units. However, strategies that provide incentives and flexibility to developers in exchange for additional housing units can result in partnerships needed to produce more units. Therefore, to assist in this endeavor HUD, in partnership with the Enterprise Foundation will be holding an Affordable Housing Summit, at the Flamingo Hotel/Casino in Las Vegas on March 15-16, 2006. We encourage the City of Scottsdale to join HUD and its other community partners from the Western United States to discuss ways to meet our ever-growing affordable housing crisis. We would welcome the opportunity to work with the City to accomplish this goal.

In regard to homelessness, Scottsdale continues to support local homeless assistance activities, as well as on a regional basis. The City supports homeless assistance activities through a network of organizations such as Chrysalis Shelter, a homeless shelter for victims of domestic violence that is located in Scottsdale. The homeless shelter was originally constructed with CDBG funds awarded by the City. This facility has a capacity to assist 12 adults and 10 children. The City continues its collaboration with Chrysalis not only with CDBG funds, but also with the City's General Funds. Which the City awarded the agency \$12,000 in fiscal year 2004 to provide general operating expenses.

In addition, the City supports Save the Family Foundation, Central AZ Shelter Services, and Community Information and Referral. The City's CAPER reports that supported non-profit partners have served many times the number of disabled veterans and homeless people than originally targeted in the Consolidated Plan. The City's CAPER reflect 274 domestic violence victims, and 1,126 homeless individuals were assisted through the City's public service programs during FY 2004. In addition, 1,300 units for domestic violence victims and the homeless were completed in FY 2004. The City also contributes to their homelessness needs on a regional basis by funding three additional agencies dedicated to providing services to the homeless, which are located in Phoenix Metropolitan Area for homeless shelter operations. During fiscal year 2004, the City provided \$100,000 in General Funds.

Although we are pleased with City's support for services addressing the needs of its homeless population, we are encouraging the City to work with its community partners to identify additional sites within Scottsdale, which could possibly be used to support additional permanent or transitional housing, for the homeless. We are available to assist in this endeavor.

The Department in the near future will require communities to develop and implement performance measurements into their programs. We are aware that Scottsdale is utilizing a limited performance measurement system, however, it has not included outcomes as part of its overall measuring system. Therefore, the Department is strongly emphasizing that the City develop and use locally focused outcomes to help ascertain how well programs and projects are meeting identified needs, and then using that information to improve performance and better target resources.

However, we are pleased that the City's Community Assistance Office staff has participated in HUD's training in the past and that they will work continue to work with fellow Maricopa Consortium members and HUD staff to develop and implement performance measurement outcomes.

We noted during our review of the City's CAPER, it continues to undertake actions to affirmatively further fair housing. Scottsdale is also taking actions to address impediments identified in City's Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing. We thank you for your continued commitment and support in addressing this very important objective.

We thank Scottsdale for maintaining its partnership with HUD; a partnership that continues to produce meaningful solutions to the housing and community development needs of its residents. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 489-6598, or M. Roy Porter, Senior Community Planning and Development Representative, at (702) 366-2113.

Sincerely,

Steven B. Sachs
Director, Community Planning
and Development Division

Mercy B. Sacha

cc: Janet M. Dolan City Manager

Mark Bethel
Community Assistance Manager

PROPOSED PROJECTS

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE PROJECTS RECOMMENDED FOR FY 2006/07 FUNDING CDBG, HOME, ADDI FUNDING AND GENERAL FUND

CDBG FUNDING - \$1,1896,783 + \$461,609 (reprogrammed funds) = \$1,648,392

PUBLIC SERVICES - \$178,017

Advocates for the Disabled - \$10,000

Through the Disability Claims Service program, specialized intensive case-management will be provided to 34 disabled, low-income and/or homeless persons who are in the process of trying to obtain Social Security Disability and/or Supplemental Security Income benefits.

Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Arizona - \$10,000

Through the Scottsdale Mentoring program, adult mentors will be provided to 20 children, ages 6-15 of low-income families, who can benefit from a caring and supportive relationship.

Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Scottsdale - \$16,921

Through the Operation Outreach program, 435 youth, ages 6-18 of low and moderate-income families living in the Minnezona, Paiute, Belleview, and Vista del Camino neighborhoods, will be provided safe, high quality, value based educational, cultural, social and recreational programs; including the Paiute Neighborhood Drop-In program, and the After School English Language Studies programs.

Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence - \$30,000

Through the Scottsdale Shelter, 268 single women and women with children who are victims of domestic violence will be provided shelter, related supportive counseling, and case management services.

Community Information & Referral - \$10,000

Through the Community Network for Accessing Shelter (CONTACS) Hotline, 1,000 individuals and families who are homeless or victims of domestic violence can call one central number to determine bed availability at emergency shelters in Maricopa County.

Homeward Bound - \$24,926

Provides transitional housing and comprehensive social services to 50 homeless adults and children.

Save the Family - \$10,000

Provides case management and supportive services to 7 homeless adults and children, referred from Scottsdale, when placed in the agency's Transitional Living Program.

Scottsdale Training & Rehabilitation Services - \$26,000

Through the Community Based Employment Program, job readiness assessment and training, job development and placement, on-the-job training and coaching, and transportation assistance will be provided to 20 adults with severe disabilities.

Tempe Community Action Agency - Senior Nutrition Program - \$40,170

Provides congregate meals, nutrition screenings, socialization opportunities, and health and fitness programs to 368 elderly Scottsdale residents at the Civic Center Senior Center and at Vista del Camino.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION COSTS - \$237,357

Scottsdale Community Assistance Office - Administration and Planning - \$237,357

Funds budgeted in this category will cover expenditures relating to planning and preparing Annual Action Plans, performance and evaluation reports, environmental reviews, labor standards reports, locally compatible grant applications, activities to affirmatively further fair housing, and general administrative staff and equipment costs to operate the CDBG program through the Community Assistance Office.

HOUSING REHABILITATION - \$266,252

Arizona Bridge to Independent Living –Home Accessibility Program - \$66,252

The Arizona Bridge to Independent Living (ABIL) will administer the Home Accessibility Program. This program will provide 15 low/moderate income eligible renters with ability to make necessary home modification to ease living in the home.

FSL Home Improvements - Emergency Home Repair Program - \$200,000

The Foundation for Senior Living, through their FSL Home Improvements affiliate, will administer the Emergency Repair program. This program will provide 38 low/moderate income eligible, owner occupied single-family homeowners with emergency type repairs required to maintain the safety and habitability of the household. Referrals are through the City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office.

ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY - \$750,000

Community Services of Arizona – Acquisition of Real Property - \$750,000

This funding will allow Community Services of Arizona the ability to purchase property within Scottsdale city limits to persevere affordability. CSA intends to acquire land and/or existing rental properties and leverage additional resources in the future to create a high quality mixed income development project that will replace substandard housing.

PUBLIC FACILITIES - \$98,175

Child Crisis Center, East Valley – Family Resource Center Expansion - \$75,000

This funding will partially support the construction of Child Crisis Center East Valley's Family Resource Center and Behavioral Health, which will provides services to 40 families with support classes..

Girls Ranch – Group Home Repairs - \$23,175

This funding will support renovations to the existing Girls Ranch home located in Scottsdale. The funds will replace the air conditioning system and install and alarm system. This facility serves 40 at-risk girls.

CONTINGENCY FUNDS - \$118,591

The CDBG Contingency Line Item is available for any housing related (acquisition of real property, housing rehabilitation) projects that have cost overruns that require additional funding to complete the project. Any additional funds awarded to projects are required to be under 10% of the initial contracted amount.

HOME FUNDING - \$360,382 + \$100,000 General Fund = \$460,382

HOUSING PROJECT - \$50,000

Community Services of Arizona – CHDO Operations - \$50,000

Community Services of Arizona will utilize CHDO operation funding to offset costs in planning future CHDO Set-Aside activities under the HOME Program

HOUSING SERVICES - \$350,000

Community Services of Arizona – First-Time Homebuyer Program \$287,858 + \$62,142 General Fund

Community Services of Arizona will acquire and complete minor rehabilitation on 2 homes in Scottsdale, which will be resold to first-time homebuyers at below-market costs. The proceeds from the sales will assist another 9 first-time homebuyers with downpayment assistance and closing costs.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION COSTS - \$22,524

City of Scottsdale – Administration and Planning - \$22,524

Funds budgeted in this category will cover expenditures relating to planning and preparing annual reports, project set-ups and completions, as well as administrative staff and equipment costs to operate the HOME program through the City of Scottsdale Community Assistance Office.

UNPROGRAMMED GENERAL FUNDS - \$37,858

AMERICAN DREAM DOWNPAYMENT IMITATIVE (ADDI) FUNDING - \$9,456 This funding, as part of the HOME program, will be used to benefit low-income families who are first-time homebuyers with down payment and closing cost assistance, and will be awarded to Community Services of Arizona to assist 1 first-time homebuyer.